Making Sense of Statistical Mechanics

The Boltzmannian vs the Gibbsian Approach

Bixin Bell Guo, bguo@macalester.edu/big15@pitt.edu

Introduction

what its canonical formalism is or what it does.

• Despite the practical success of statistical mechanics, it is disputable

Introduction

Boltzmannian approach

employ distinct concepts to define key concepts like entropy and equilibrium

Macrostates of individual systems

provide different microphysical underpinnings of thermodynamics and why systems equilibrate

Gibbsian approach

Probability distributions over possible states of the system

Introduction Criticisms of the Gibbsian Approach

Boltzmannian approach

individual, actual

a physical theory that describes what is actually going on in thermodynamic systems

Gibbsian approach

Ensemblist, fictitious

merely a set of mathematical tools

Thesis

- of concrete theories of different kinds of systems.
- framework theory.
- The Boltzmannian approach, in contrast, faces challenges in being applies to only certain physical systems (such as dilute gas).

• Statistical mechanics is a framework theory, which covers a wide range

Only the Gibbsian approach has the apparatus to serve as such a broad

broadly applicable, and could be considered a concrete theory that

Framework Theory vs Concrete Theory Example: classical mechanics

 $\overrightarrow{F} = m\overrightarrow{a}$



Framework Theory vs Concrete Theory Example: classical mechanics

 $\overrightarrow{F} = m\overrightarrow{a}$



$$\overrightarrow{F} = \frac{Gm_1m_2}{\overrightarrow{r}^2}$$
(1)

$$\frac{Gm_1m_2}{\vec{r}^2} = m_1\vec{a}$$
(2)

More concrete

Framework Theory vs Concrete Theory Example: classical mechanics









point particles

springs and other vibrating systems



rigid bodies



More concrete

Framework Theory vs Concrete Theory Example: quantum mechanics

general

abstract

applies to different kinds of systems

 $i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi\rangle = \hat{H}|\psi\rangle \qquad i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{x},t) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m_{i}}\nabla_{i}^{2}\psi(\vec{x},t) + \sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq n}V_{ij}\left(|\vec{x}_{i}-\vec{x}_{j}|\right)\psi(\vec{x},t)$

more specific

more concrete

applies to a specific kind of systems



Framework Theory vs Concrete Theory

(i) The scope of applicability:

specific kind of systems.

(ii) Asymmetric relation:

by filling in details about the kind of system, one can derive a concrete theory from the framework theory, but it is hard to see how a derivation could be carried out the other way around.

a framework theory is more abstract and applies to a wide range of different kinds of systems, while a concrete theory applies to only a

Statistical Mechanics (SM)

provide a microphysical foundation of thermodynamics

canonical example: gases





Statistical Mechanics (SM) as a framework theory

provide a microphysical foundation of (equilibrium) thermodynamics

studies a system in terms of the collective behaviors of its large number of constituents and their underlying dynamics

also liquids, solids, magnets, plasmas, and stars Brownian motion and black body radiation applications in biology, epidemiology, neural networks etc.

canonical example: gases



Gibbsian Statistical Mechanics as the Framework

- Example 1: deriving the Boltzmann entropy from the Gibbs entropy
- Example 2: Brownian motion

- For simplicity, let's consider the microcanonical ensemble for an isolated system at equilibrium with constant energy ${\cal E}$



$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} rac{1}{\mu_E}, x \in \Gamma_E; \\ 0, \ ext{otherwise} \end{array} \right\}$$

- For simplicity, let's consider the microcanonical ensemble for an isolated system at equilibrium with constant energy ${\cal E}$
 - $\rho(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \right.$
- Plug it into the formula of Gibbs entropy:
 - $S_G(\rho) \equiv -$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\mu_E}, x \in \Gamma_E; \\ 0, \end{array} \right)$$
 otherwise

$$k_B \int_{\Gamma} \rho \ln(\rho) d\Gamma$$

 $= k_B \ln \mu_E$

Compare with Boltzmann entropy:

 $S_G(\rho) \equiv -k_B \int_{\Gamma} \rho \ln(\rho) d\Gamma$ $= -k_B \int_{\Gamma_E} \frac{1}{\mu_E} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\mu_E}\right) d\Gamma_E$



$$S_G(\rho) = -k_B$$

$= k_B \ln \mu_E$

Compare with Boltzmann entropy:

 $\int_{B} \int_{\Gamma_{F}} \frac{1}{\mu_{E}} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{E}}\right) d\Gamma_{E}$

phase-space volume of whole energy shell Γ_E

 $S_B \equiv k_B \ln \mu_M$

phase-space volume of equilibrium macrostate



 When the phase-space volume of the equilibrium macrostate at E is overwhelmingly larger than any other macrostates in Γ_{E}

 $\mu_E \approx \mu_M$

 $\longrightarrow S_G(\rho) \approx S_B$

Gibbsian Statistical Mechanics as the Framework

- The key assumption for the **combinatorial argument**:
 - sum of the energies of individual particles

the total energy E of the system can be expressed or approximated as a

Gibbsian Statistical Mechanics as the Framework

• The key assumption for the combinatorial argument:

sum of the energies of individual particles

• Applicable only to certain systems:

usually consist of a very large number of identical microphysical dilute gas)

the total energy E of the system can be expressed or approximated as a

constituents that are non-interacting or only interacting weakly (such as

 $S_{G}(\rho) = -k_{B} \int_{\Gamma_{E}} \frac{1}{\mu_{E}} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{E}}\right) d\Gamma_{E}$ $= k_{B} \ln \mu_{E}$ $\Rightarrow \approx S_{B}$

By specifying the additional detail about the system (i.e., being noninteracting or weakly interacting), it is possible to derive aspects of the **Boltzmannian** approach **from the Gibbsian** approach; **no** derivation be meaningfully said to go in the reverse direction

The limit of the Boltzmannian approach

- Boltzmannian approach (as established via the combinatorial argument): applicable only to non-interacting or weakly interacting systems, where the total energy E of the system can be expressed or approximated as a sum of the energies of individual particles
- Gibbsian approach: not subject to this constraint

Gibbsian Statistical Mechanics as the Framework



The limits of the Boltzmannian approach Case study: Brownian Motion

• The irregular motion of a small particle suspended in a fluid





The limits of the Boltzmannian approach Brownian Motion

- The irregular motion of a small particle suspended in a fluid
- Its dynamics is described by the Langevin equation:

a systematic part (friction) a fluctuating part (noise)

 $\frac{dv}{dt} = -\zeta v + \delta F(t)$



The limits of the Boltzmannian approach Brownian Motion

• The irregular motion of a small particle suspended in a fluid

Macroscopic scale:

Mesoscale:

individual micro-constituents of the fluid Microscopic scale:

fluid the Brownian particle



The limits of the Boltzmannian approach Brownian Motion

Macroscopic scale:

Mesoscale:

Microscopic scale: individual m

fluid the Brownian particle ? individual micro-constituents of the fluid Microstates



Summary

- different kinds of systems.
- Example: deriving the Boltzmann entropy from the Gibbs entropy
- non-interacting systems and to phenomena like Brownian motion.
- theory.

Introduce the distinction between framework theory and concrete theory

Statistical mechanics is a framework theory, which covers a wide range of

The Boltzmannian approach faces challenges in applying to systems beyond

The Gibbsian approach has a broader application to serve as a framework

